

UNITED STA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.
	08/492,943				
	007492,943	06/21/95	NAUGLE	Ř	EXAMINER 405F1
			Company of the same		•
	VINCENT B MOTOROLA I	INGRASSIA	83M1/0115	GECKIL	
	INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY D	FPARTMENT		A
	P 0 B0X 10:	219 AZ 85271-021		DATE MAILED	: :
	services and the first first first free classes	Hr 00511-051	3		01/15/97
	This is a communication from COMMISSIONER OF PATEN	the examiner in charge of	your application.	**	
		OI	FFICE ACTION SUMMARY		
ĽΧÍ	Responsive to communica		21195		
	This action is FINAL.			-	
_					
	accordance with the practic	condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosecution/le, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits I	s closed in
اء ۸				*	
which	hortened statutory period for chever is longer, from the m	or response to this action	n is set to expire 3 (Three	month(s), or	thirty days,
the	application to become aban	idoned. (35 U.S.C. § 13	nunication. Failure to respond within the sail. Extensions of time may be obtained.		
	•	1	- The state of and may be obtained	ad dilder tile brovisi	ons or 37 CFH
Disp	position of Claims			•	
汝	Claim(s)	1-7	and 9-10.	is/ara pand	ing in the coulty stre
	Of the above, claim(s)				ing in the application. n from consideration.
	Claim/ol				
	Claim(s)	1 0			
M	Claim(s)	1-7 and	9-10		_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected.
N D	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	1-7 and	9-10	is	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to.
	Claim(s)Claim(s)	1-7 and	9-10	is	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected.
Appi	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers		9-16are sub	is	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of I		9 – 1 o are sub trawing Review, PTO-948.	is ject to restriction or	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to.
X	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilcation Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on	Draftsperson's Patent D	are subgrawing Review, PTO-948.	is ject to restriction or by the Examiner.	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing corre The specification is objected	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on 6/	are sub are sub erawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to	is ject to restriction or	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilcation Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on 6/	are sub are sub erawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to	is ject to restriction or by the Examiner.	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing corre The specification is objected	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on 6/	are sub are sub erawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to	is ject to restriction or by the Examiner.	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
対□□ Appi □□対区 Prior	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing corre The specification is objected The oath or declaration is objected The under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	ター 1 り are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to	is ject to restriction or by the Examiner.	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
対□□ Appi □□対区 Prior	Claim(s) Cla	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to refer to the review of the review	is pythe Examiner.	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
対□□ Appi □□対区 Prior	Claim(s) Cla	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	ター 1 り are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to	is pythe Examiner.	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
対□□ Appi □□対区 Prior	Claim(s) Cla	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to is/are objected to its are object	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
Appi Appi Prior	Claim(s) Cla	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to is/are objected t	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
Appl Company Prior A Company	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing corre The specification is objected The cath or declaration is objected The specification is objected T	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are subtrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to 2-1 / 9.5- ner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). copies of the priority documents have Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
APP C Prior C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of Interpretation of Interpretation is objected that are also of Interpretation is objected. The specification is objected that or declaration is objected that or declaration is objected. Acknowledgment is made of Interpretation	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to is/are objected t	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of Interpretation of Interpretation is objected that are also of Interpretation is objected. The specification is objected that or declaration is objected that or declaration is objected. Acknowledgment is made of Interpretation	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are subtrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to 2-1 / 9.5- ner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). copies of the priority documents have Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
Application of the Attack	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing corre The specification is objected The oath or declaration is ob- city under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of All Some* Non received received in Application N received in this national- certified copies not received: acknowledgment is made of hment(s)	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to is/are objected t	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
Appl C A Attack N Attack N	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Blication Papers See the attached Notice of Interpretation of Interpretation is objected the specification is objected. The specification is objected. The cath or declaration is objected. All Some* Noneration Noneration of Interpretation Noneration None Noneration None Noneration None None None None None None None No	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to is/are objected t	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
APP C A Attack N In	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Blication Papers See the attached Notice of I The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction is objected. The cath or declaration is objected. All Some* Non- received. received in Application Non- received in Application Non- certified copies not received: acknowledgment is made of the copies not received: acknowledgment is stated to the copies not received: acknowledgment is made of the copies not received: acknowledgment is stated to the copies not received to the copies n	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are substrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to is/are objected t	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
ADD App C C A C N In In	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of Ithe drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction is objected. The oath or declaration is objected. All Some* Non- received. received in Application Non- received in this national received in this national received in this national received. Control opies not received: Control opies not rec	Draftsperson's Patent D ection, filed on	are subtrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to solve the priority documents have number. Number the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
ADD App Community Prior A D D D Community Attack N In In No.	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Interview Summary, PTO-413 otice of Dafters Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of Interview Summary, PTO-413 otice of Daftperson's Paten	Draftsperson's Patent D action, filed on	are subtrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to solve the priority documents have number. Number the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.
ADD App Community Prior ADD Community Attack Nins in	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Ilication Papers See the attached Notice of Ithe drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction is objected. The oath or declaration is objected. All Some* Non- received. received in Application Non- received in this national received in this national received in this national received. Control opies not received: Control opies not rec	Draftsperson's Patent D action, filed on	are subtrawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to solve the priority documents have number. Number the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	is period approved	_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. /are objected to. election requirement.

Art Unit: 2302

15. Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are presented for examination.

16. The continuation-in-part declaration is incomplete. It does not state to acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in title 37 CFR 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the National PCT International filing date of this application.

17. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention and failing to adequately teach how to make and use the invention, i.e. failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

Applicants did not teach the details of the method as claimed in claims 1-7 and 9-10, e.g., applicant did not teach details of the structures or means which performed determination of the process status, details of how a process which determined the process status interfaced with the received email or for that matter details of a process which receives emails querying process status and this process in response interfacing with another process which determines the process status. In Unix system an operator may type "ps" and the operating system returns a table

Art Unit: 2302

showing all the processing running on that computer, e.g., as shown on page 6 of the application. But this is done manually by the operator. The operator may receive an email from a person who asks him about the status of the processes and the operator may type the "ps" command and read from the table and capture that information into a file and than attach that file to a mail message which responds to the received email inquiry. These could all be done by a computer operator manually. If applicant invented a process which automated all these steps then it is incumbent for the applicant to disclose details of these program steps. The present application is written in a brief magazine article fashion. It lacks the detailed teachings as required by the first paragraph of 112. Thus, it would require repeated undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to determine details of all the above mentioned steps for automatically performing remote process monitoring. Also, the claims recite in the preamble that the method for remote monitoring of computers on a network is done by using software capability of the computer operating system. A computer operator may use the computer operating system command "ps" to determine the status of the processes. But, examiner is unaware of any UNIX operating system command which performs an interface between the email and the "ps" command. Moreover, applicant failed to teach details of how the comparing with a list is accomplished as claimed in claim 3 and 10 and details of how automatic activation of remote paging was accomplished and details of how heterogeneous systems were interface to each other for accomplishing the email remote monitoring as claimed in claim 10. All these features only exist in the claims and there is no detailed teaching in the specification showing how these are

Art Unit: 2302

accomplished. A good example for the applicant to observe an enabling patent is the one which will be used below in 103 rejection, i.e, Quan (5,230,051). The difference between that teaching and this application is crystal clear for one of ordinary skill in the computer network art. This application lacks detailed teachings.

The examiner contends that it would require many repeated undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention for the reasons set forth herein above. Applicant is reminded that no new matter is allowed in the amendment to the specification under 35 U.S.C. 132 and 37 CFR 1.118(a).

- 18. Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.
- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2302

20. Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Quan in view of well known features of network monitoring art.

- 21. Quan (5,230,051) taught the invention substantially as claimed including a system for monitoring a computer network having a plurality of computers which are communicating with each other through Messaging (col 3) and the system having a monitoring function for monitoring status of the processes and reporting to a bulletin board system status of the processes (e.g., see column 4 (line 37) through column 6 (line 40).) Moreover, Quan taught querying the Bulletin board for determining the status of the processes on the remote computer (e.g., see column 5 (lines 24-28.) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the claimed invention only differs from Querying of the bulletin board taught by Quan by degree. As far as reporting to a beeper service is concerned, it is no more than an obvious variation of process monitoring and reporting to the bulletin board.
- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehmet Geckil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9676. The examiner can normally be reached on all days of the week from 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,, can be reached on (703)305-9702. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-9564.

Art Unit: 2302

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

1/14/96

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Meht gall

GROUP 2300